

# Generation Interconnection System Impact Study Report for

Queue Project AF1-084

EAST HARTFORD-MURCH 69 KV

54.1 MW Capacity / 85 MW Energy

# **Table of Contents**

1 I	Introduction	4
2 F	Preface	4
3 (	General	5
4 F	Point of Interconnection	6
5 (	Cost Summary	6
6 7	Гransmission Owner Scope of Work	8
6.1	Attachment Facilities	8
6.2	Direct Connection Cost Estimate	8
6.3	Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate	8
7 I	ncremental Capacity Transfer Rights (ICTRs)	9
8 5	Schedule	9
9 I	nterconnection Customer Requirements	9
10	Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements	10
10.	1 PJM Requirements	10
10.	10.2 Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements	
10.	10.3 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements	
11	Summer Peak Analysis	11
11.	1 Generation Deliverability	11
11.	.2 Multiple Facility Contingency	11
11.	.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads	11
11.	4 Steady-State Voltage Requirements	11
11.	5 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability	11
11.	.6 System Reinforcements	12
11.	.7 Contingency Descriptions	13
12	Light Load Analysis	14
13	Short Circuit Analysis	14
14	Stability and Reactive Power	14
15	Affected Systems	14
15.	1 TVA	14
15.	2 Duke Energy Progress	14
15.	.3 MISO	14

15.4	4 LG&E	.14
16	Attachment 1: One-Line Diagram and Site Location	.15

#### 1 Introduction

This System Impact Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 205, as well as the System Impact Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is AEP.

#### 2 Preface

The intent of the System Impact Study is to determine a plan, with approximate cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation interconnection project to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system. All facilities required for interconnection of a generation interconnection project must be designed to meet the technical specifications (on PJM web site) for the appropriate transmission owner.

In some instances an Interconnection Customer may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection or merchant transmission upgrade, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the Feasibility Study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the System Impact Study is performed.

The System Impact Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

The Interconnection Customer seeking to interconnect a wind or solar generation facility shall maintain meteorological data facilities as well as provide that meteorological data which is required per Schedule H to the Interconnection Service Agreement and Section 8 of Manual 14D.

#### 3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC), has proposed a Solar generating facility located in Van Buren County, MI. The installed facilities will have a total capability of 85 MW with 54.1 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity.

The proposed in-service date for this project is October 01, 2022. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

The objective of this System Impact Study is to determine budgetary cost estimates and approximate construction timelines for identified transmission facilities required to connect the proposed generating facilities to the ITO transmission system. These reinforcements include the Attachment Facilities, Local Upgrades, and Network Upgrades required for maintaining the reliability of the ITO transmission system.

Queue Number	AF1-084
Project Name	EAST HARTFORD-MURCH 69 KV
State	Michigan
County	Van Buren
Transmission Owner	AEP
MFO	85
MWE	85
MWC	54.1
Fuel	Solar
Basecase Study Year	2023

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

#### 4 Point of Interconnection

AF1-084 will interconnect with the AEP transmission system via a new 69 kV switching station cut into the Murch (AEP) – East Hartford (REA) 69 kV section of the Hartford – Almena 69 kV circuit.

To accommodate the interconnection on the Murch (AEP) – East Hartford (REA) 69 kV section of the Hartford - Almena 69kV circuit, a new three (3) circuit breaker 69 kV switching station physically configured in a breaker and half bus arrangement but operated as a ring-bus will be constructed (Attachment 1). Installation of associated protection and control equipment, 69 kV line risers, SCADA, and 69 kV revenue metering will also be required. AEP reserves the right to specify the final acceptable configuration considering design practices, future expansion, and compliance requirements.

# 5 Cost Summary

This project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Attachment Facilities	\$637,000
Direct Connection Network Upgrade	\$6,500,000
Non Direct Connection Network Upgrades	\$705,000
Allocation for New System Upgrades*	\$0
Contribution to previously Identified Upgrades*	\$0
Total Costs	\$7,842,000

<sup>\*</sup>As your project progresses through the study process and other projects modify their request or withdraw, then your cost allocation could change.

The estimates provided in this report are preliminary in nature, as they were determined without the benefit of detailed engineering studies. Final estimates will require an on-site review and coordination to determine final construction requirements. In addition, Stability analysis will be completed during the Facilities Study stage. It is possible that a need for additional upgrades could be identified by these studies.

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 88-129. If at a future date it is determined that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Note 1: PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) section 217.3A outline cost allocation rules. The rules are further clarified in PJM Manual 14A Attachment B. The allocation of costs for a network upgrade will start with the first Queue project to cause the need for the upgrade. Later queue projects will receive cost allocation contingent on their contribution to the violation and are allocated to the queues that have not

closed less than 5 years following the execution of the first Interconnection Service Agreement which identifies the need for this upgrade.

# 6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

#### **6.1** Attachment Facilities

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Attachment work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
69 kV Revenue Metering	\$317,000
Generator lead first span exiting the POI station, including the first structure	\$320,000
outside the fence	
Total Attachment Facility Costs	\$637,000

#### **6.2** Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
Construct a new three (3) circuit breaker 69 kV switching station physically	\$6,500,000
configured in a breaker and half bus arrangement but operated as a ring	
bus. Installation of associated protection and control equipment, 69 kV line	
risers and SCADA will also be required.	
Total Direct Connection Facility Costs	\$6,500,000

#### **6.3** Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Non-Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
Review Protection and Control settings at the Almena 69 kV substation	\$45,000
Review Protection and Control settings at the Hartford 69 kV substation	\$45,000
Murch – East Hartford 69 kV T-Line Cut In	\$615,000
Total Non-Direct Connection Facility Costs	\$705,000

# 7 Incremental Capacity Transfer Rights (ICTRs)

None

#### 8 Schedule

It is anticipated that the time between receipt of executed Agreements and Commercial Operation may range from 12 to 18 months if no line work is required. If line work is required, construction time would generally be between 24 to 36 months after signing Agreement execution.

# 9 Interconnection Customer Requirements

It is understood that the Interconnection Customer is responsible for all costs associated with this interconnection. The costs above are reimbursable to the Interconnected Transmission Owner. The cost of the Interconnection Customer's generating plant and the costs for the line connecting the generating plant to the Interconnected Transmission Owner's Transmission circuit are not included in this report; these are assumed to be the Interconnection Customer's responsibility.

The Generation Interconnection Agreement does not in or by itself establish a requirement for the Interconnected Transmission Owner to provide power for consumption at the developer's facilities. A separate agreement may be reached with the local utility that provides service in the area to ensure that infrastructure is in place to meet this demand and proper metering equipment is installed. It is the responsibility of the developer to contact the local service provider to determine if a local service agreement is required.

Requirement from the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff:

- 1. An Interconnection Customer entering the New Services Queue on or after October 1, 2012 with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.
- 2. The Interconnection Customer may be required to install and/or pay for metering as necessary to properly track real time output of the facility as well as installing metering which shall be used for billing purposes. See Section 8 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as Section 4 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

## 10 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

#### **10.1 PJM Requirements**

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

## **10.2** Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements

The solar generation facility shall provide the Transmission Provider with site-specific meteorological data including:

- Back Panel temperature (Fahrenheit)
- Irradiance (Watts/meter<sup>2</sup>)
- Ambient air temperature (Fahrenheit) (Accepted, not required)
- Wind speed (meters/second) (Accepted, not required)
- Wind direction (decimal degrees from true north) (Accepted, not required)

#### **10.3** Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements

The IC will be required to comply with all Interconnected Transmission Owner's revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers located at the following link:

http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/

#### 11 Summer Peak Analysis

The Queue Project AF1-084 was evaluated as a 85.0 MW (Capacity 54.1 MW) injection tapping the East Hartford to Murch 69 kV line in the AEP area. Project AF1-084 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AF1-084 was studied with a commercial probability of 100.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

#### 11.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

#### 11.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

#### 11.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

# 11.4 Steady-State Voltage Requirements

None

#### 11.5 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	kV	FRO M BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	kV	TO BUS ARE A	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Туре	Ratin g MVA	PRE PROJECT LOADIN G %	POST PROJECT LOADIN G %	AC D C	MW IMPAC T
4341893 9	24341 2	05STURG I	69. 0	AEP	25533 1	17HOW E	69. 0	NIPS	1	AEP_P1- 2_#557 7	operatio n	47.0	38.27	45.26	AC	4.76

# 11.6 System Reinforcements

ID	Index	Facility	Upgrade Description	Cost	
			TOTAL COST	<b>\$0</b>	

# **11.7 Contingency Descriptions**

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition
AEP_P1-2_#5577	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#5577'  OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 243287 TO BUS 243346 CKT 1 / 243287 05E.ELKHART 138 243346 05MOTTV 138 1 END

# 12 Light Load Analysis

Not Required.

# 13 Short Circuit Analysis

The following Breakers are overdutied

None.

# 14 Stability and Reactive Power

To be determined in the Facilities Study Phase.

# 15 Affected Systems

#### 15.1 TVA

TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

## **15.2 Duke Energy Progress**

Duke Energy Progress Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

#### 15.3 MISO

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

#### 15.4 LG&E

LG&E Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

# 16 Attachment 1: One-Line Diagram and Site Location



