

Generation Interconnection System Impact Study Report for

Queue Project AF2-162

KEYSTONE-DESOTO 345 KV

30 MW Capacity / 45 MW Energy

Table of Contents

1	In	troductiontroduction	4
2	Pr	reface	4
3	Ge	eneral	5
4	Po	oint of Interconnection	6
5	Co	ost Summary	6
6	Tı	ransmission Owner Scope of Work	8
	6.1	Attachment Facilities	8
	6.2	Direct Connection Cost Estimate	8
	6.3	Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate	8
7	Sc	chedule	9
8	In	terconnection Customer Requirements	9
9	Re	evenue Metering and SCADA Requirements	10
	9.1	PJM Requirements	10
	9.2	Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements	10
	9.3	Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements	10
10)	Summer Peak Analysis	11
	10.1	Generation Deliverability	11
	10.2	Multiple Facility Contingency	11
	10.3	Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads	11
	10.4	Steady-State Voltage Requirements	11
	10.5	Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability	11
	10.6	System Reinforcements	13
	10.7	Flow Gate Details	14
	10	0.7.1 Index 1	14
	10.8	Queue Dependencies	15
	10.9	Contingency Descriptions	16
11	L	Light Load Analysis	17
12	2	Short Circuit Analysis	17
13	3	Stability and Reactive Power	17
14	ŀ	Affected Systems	18
	14.1	TVA	18

14.2	Duke Energy Progress	18
14.3	MISO	18
14.4	LG&E	18
15	Attachment 1: One Line Diagram and Project Site Location	19

1 Introduction

This System Impact Study has been prepared in accordance with the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff, 205, as well as the System Impact Study Agreement between the Interconnection Customer (IC), and PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), Transmission Provider (TP). The Interconnected Transmission Owner (ITO) is AEP.

2 Preface

The intent of the System Impact Study is to determine a plan, with approximate cost and construction time estimates, to connect the subject generation interconnection project to the PJM network at a location specified by the Interconnection Customer. As a requirement for interconnection, the Interconnection Customer may be responsible for the cost of constructing: Network Upgrades, which are facility additions, or upgrades to existing facilities, that are needed to maintain the reliability of the PJM system. All facilities required for interconnection of a generation interconnection project must be designed to meet the technical specifications (on PJM web site) for the appropriate transmission owner.

In some instances an Interconnection Customer may not be responsible for 100% of the identified network upgrade cost because other transmission network uses, e.g. another generation interconnection or merchant transmission upgrade, may also contribute to the need for the same network reinforcement. The possibility of sharing the reinforcement costs with other projects may be identified in the Feasibility Study, but the actual allocation will be deferred until the System Impact Study is performed.

The System Impact Study estimates do not include the feasibility, cost, or time required to obtain property rights and permits for construction of the required facilities. The project developer is responsible for the right of way, real estate, and construction permit issues. For properties currently owned by Transmission Owners, the costs may be included in the study.

The Interconnection Customer seeking to interconnect a wind or solar generation facility shall maintain meteorological data facilities as well as provide that meteorological data which is required per Schedule H to the Interconnection Service Agreement and Section 8 of Manual 14D.

An Interconnection Customer with a proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

3 General

The Interconnection Customer (IC) has proposed an uprate to a planned/existing Solar generating facility located in Blackford, Indiana. This project is an increase to the Interconnection Customer's AF1-119 project, which will share the same point of interconnection. The AF2-162 queue position is a 45 MW uprate (30 MW Capacity uprate) to the previous project. The total installed facilities will have a capability of 245 MW with 170 MW of this output being recognized by PJM as Capacity.

The proposed in-service date for this uprate project is June 01, 2023. This study does not imply a TO commitment to this in-service date.

Queue Number	AF2-162
Project Name	KEYSTONE-DESOTO 345 KV
State	Indiana
County	Blackford
Transmission Owner	AEP
MFO	245
MWE	45
MWC	30
Fuel	Solar
Basecase Study Year	2023

Any new service customers who can feasibly be commercially operable prior to June 1st of the basecase study year are required to request interim deliverability analysis.

4 Point of Interconnection

AF2-162 will interconnect to the AEP transmission system, via a direct connection to the AF1-119 proposed 345 kV station cut into Keystone – Desoto 345kV circuit, as an uprate to the PJM project AF1-119.

Note: It is assumed that the existing 345 kV revenue metering system, generation lead and Protection & Control Equipment that will be installed for AF1-119 will be adequate for the increased generation of AF2-162. Depending on the timing of the completion of the AF1-119 interconnection construction relative to the AF2-162 completion, there may (or may not) be a need to review and revise the relay settings for the increased generation of AF2-162.

5 Cost Summary

The AF2-162 project will be responsible for the following costs:

Description	Total Cost
Total Physical Interconnection Costs	\$45,000
Allocation towards System Network Upgrade	\$0
Costs*	
Total Costs	\$45,000

^{*}As your project progresses through the study process and other projects modify their request or withdraw, then your cost allocation could change.

The estimates provided in this report are preliminary in nature, as they were determined without the benefit of detailed engineering studies. Final estimates will require an on-site review and coordination to determine final construction requirements. In addition, Stability analysis will be completed during the Facilities Study stage. It is possible that a need for additional upgrades could be identified by these studies.

This cost excludes a Federal Income Tax Gross Up charges. This tax may or may not be charged based on whether this project meets the eligibility requirements of IRS Notice 2016-36, 2016-25 I.R.B. (6/20/2016). If at a future date it is determined that the Federal Income Tax Gross charge is required, the Transmission Owner shall be reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer for such taxes.

Note 1: PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) section 217.3A outline cost allocation rules. The rules are further clarified in PJM Manual 14A Attachment B. The allocation of costs for a network upgrade will start with the first Queue project to cause the need for the upgrade. Later queue projects will receive cost allocation contingent on their contribution to the violation and are allocated to the queues that have not closed less than 5 years following the execution of the first Interconnection Service Agreement which identifies the need for this upgrade.

Note 2: For customers with System Reinforcements listed: If your present cost allocation to a System Reinforcement indicates \$0, then please be aware that as changes to the interconnection process occur, such as prior queued projects withdrawing from the queue, reducing in size, etc, the cost responsibilities can change and a cost allocation may be assigned to your project. In addition, although your present cost allocation to a System Reinforcement is presently \$0, your project may need this system reinforcement completed to be deliverable to the PJM system. If your project comes into service prior to completion of the system reinforcement, an interim deliverability study for your project will be required.

6 Transmission Owner Scope of Work

The total physical interconnection costs is given in the table below:

6.1 Attachment Facilities

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Attachment work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
None	\$0
Total Attachment Facility Costs	\$0

6.2 Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
None	\$0
Total Direct Connection Facility Costs	\$0

6.3 Non-Direct Connection Cost Estimate

The total preliminary cost estimate for the Non-Direct Connection work is given in the table below. These costs do not include CIAC Tax Gross-up.

Description	Total Cost
Review line protection and control settings at the AF1-119 proposed 345 kV station	\$45,000
Total Non-Direct Connection Facility Costs	\$45,000

7 Schedule

It is anticipated that the time between receipt of executed Agreements and Commercial Operation may range from 12 to 18 months if no line work is required. If line work is required, construction time would generally be between 24 to 36 months after Agreement execution.

8 Interconnection Customer Requirements

It is understood that the Interconnection Customer (IC) is responsible for all costs associated with this interconnection. The costs above are reimbursable to the Transmission Owner. The cost of the IC's generating plant and the costs for the line connecting the generating plant to the Point of Interconnection are not included in this report; these are assumed to be the IC's responsibility.

The Generation Interconnection Agreement does not in or by itself establish a requirement for the Transmission Owner to provide power for consumption at the developer's facilities. A separate agreement may be reached with the local utility that provides service in the area to ensure that infrastructure is in place to meet this demand and proper metering equipment is installed. It is the responsibility of the developer to contact the local service provider to determine if a local service agreement is required.

- An Interconnection Customer entering the New Services Queue on or after October 1, 2012 with a
 proposed new Customer Facility that has a Maximum Facility Output equal to or greater than 100 MW
 shall install and maintain, at its expense, phasor measurement units (PMUs). See Section 8.5.3 of
 Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as section 4.3 of PJM Manual 14D for
 additional information.
- 2. The Interconnection Customer may be required to install and/or pay for metering as necessary to properly track real time output of the facility as well as installing metering which shall be used for billing purposes. See Section 8 of Appendix 2 to the Interconnection Service Agreement as well as Section 4 of PJM Manual 14D for additional information.

9 Revenue Metering and SCADA Requirements

9.1 PJM Requirements

The Interconnection Customer will be required to install equipment necessary to provide Revenue Metering (KWH, KVARH) and real time data (KW, KVAR) for IC's generating Resource. See PJM Manuals M-01 and M-14D, and PJM Tariff Section 8 of Attachment O.

9.2 Meteorological Data Reporting Requirements

The solar generation facility shall provide the Transmission Provider with site-specific meteorological data including:

- Back Panel temperature (Fahrenheit) (Required for plants with Maximum Facility Output of 3 MW or higher)
- Irradiance (Watts/meter2) (Required for plants with Maximum Facility Output of 3 MW or higher)
- Ambient air temperature (Fahrenheit) (Accepted, not required)
- Wind speed (meters/second) (Accepted, not required)
- Wind direction (decimal degrees from true north) (Accepted, not required)

9.3 Interconnected Transmission Owner Requirements

The IC will be required to comply with all Interconnected Transmission Owner's revenue metering requirements for generation interconnection customers located at the following link:

http://www.pjm.com/planning/design-engineering/to-tech-standards/

10 Summer Peak Analysis

The Queue Project AF2-162 was evaluated as a 45.0 MW (Capacity 30.0 MW) injection as an uprate to AF1-119 which taps the Keystone to Desoto 345 kV line (specifically the AF1-119 Tap – AF2-388 Tap line segment) in the AEP area. Project AF2-162 was evaluated for compliance with applicable reliability planning criteria (PJM, NERC, NERC Regional Reliability Councils, and Transmission Owners). Project AF2-162 was studied with a commercial probability of 100.0 %. Potential network impacts were as follows:

10.1 Generation Deliverability

(Single or N-1 contingencies for the Capacity portion only of the interconnection)

None

10.2 Multiple Facility Contingency

(Double Circuit Tower Line, Fault with a Stuck Breaker, and Bus Fault contingencies for the full energy output)

None

10.3 Contribution to Previously Identified Overloads

(This project contributes to the following contingency overloads, i.e. "Network Impacts", identified for earlier generation or transmission interconnection projects in the PJM Queue)

None

10.4 Steady-State Voltage Requirements

To be determined

10.5 Potential Congestion due to Local Energy Deliverability

PJM also studied the delivery of the energy portion of this interconnection request. Any problems identified below are likely to result in operational restrictions to the project under study. The developer can proceed with network upgrades to eliminate the operational restriction at their discretion by submitting a Merchant Transmission Interconnection request.

Note: Only the most severely overloaded conditions are listed below. There is no guarantee of full delivery of energy for this project by fixing only the conditions listed in this section. With a Transmission Interconnection Request, a subsequent analysis will be performed which shall study all overload conditions associated with the overloaded element(s) identified.

	ID	FROM	FROM	kV	FRO	то	TO BUS	kV	то	CK	CONT	Туре	Ratin	PRE	POST	AC D	MW
		BUS#	BUS		М	BUS#			BUS	Т	NAME		g	PROJEC	PROJEC	С	IMPAC
					BUS				ARE	ID			MVA	T	T		Т
					AREA				A					LOADIN	LOADIN		
L														G %	G %		
ſ	95313240	24321	05DESOT	345.	AEP	95886	AF2-177	345.	AEP	2	AEP_P1-	operatio	971.0	111.94	113.06	AC	10.99
		8	0	0		0	TAP	0			2_#4817	n					

ID	FROM BUS#	FROM BUS	kV	FRO M BUS AREA	TO BUS#	TO BUS	kV	TO BUS ARE A	CK T ID	CONT NAME	Туре	Ratin g MVA	PRE PROJEC T LOADIN G %	POST PROJEC T LOADIN G %	AC D C	MW IMPAC T
95313244	24322 5	05KEYST N	345. 0	AEP	24323 2	05SOREN S	345. 0	AEP	1	AEP_P1- 2_#8702 -C	operatio n	1301. 0	104.97	106.47	AC	19.64
95313245	24322 5	05KEYST N	345. 0	AEP	24323 2	05SOREN S	345. 0	AEP	1	Base Case	operatio n	897.0	107.35	109.36	AC	18.05
95313118	94453 0	AF1-118 TAP	345. 0	AEP	24323 2	05SOREN S	345. 0	AEP	2	AEP_P1- 2_#4817	operatio n	971.0	142.64	143.77	AC	10.99
14412818 9	94454 0	AF1-119 TAP	345. 0	AEP	96097 0	AF2-388 TAP	345. 0	AEP	1	AEP_P1- 2_#8702 -C	operatio n	897.0	130.17	132.34	AC	19.7
95313160	94483 0	AF1-148 TAP	345. 0	AEP	94453 0	AF1-118 TAP	345. 0	AEP	2	AEP_P1- 2_#4817	operatio n	971.0	121.04	122.16	AC	10.99
15655579 9	95886 0	AF2-177 TAP	345. 0	AEP	94483 0	AF1-148 TAP	345. 0	AEP	2	AEP_P1- 2_#4817	operatio n	971.0	111.94	113.06	AC	10.99
14412818 2	96097 0	AF2-388 TAP	345. 0	AEP	24322 5	05KEYST N	345. 0	AEP	1	AEP_P1- 2_#8702 -C	operatio n	897.0	130.18	132.34	AC	19.7

10.6 System Reinforcements

None

Note: For customers with System Reinforcements listed: If your present cost allocation to a System Reinforcement indicates \$0, then please be aware that as changes to the interconnection process occur, such as prior queued projects withdrawing from the queue, reducing in size, etc, the cost responsibilities can change and a cost allocation may be assigned to your project. In addition, although your present cost allocation to a System Reinforcement is presently \$0, your project may need this system reinforcement completed to be deliverable to the PJM system. If your project comes into service prior to completion of the system reinforcement, an interim deliverability study for your project will be required.

10.7 Flow Gate Details

The following indices contain additional information about each facility presented in the body of the report. For each index, a description of the flowgate and its contingency was included for convenience. The intent of the indices is to provide more details on which projects/generators have contributions to the flowgate in question. All New Service Queue Requests, through the end of the Queue under study, that are contributors to a flowgate will be listed in the indices. Please note that there may be contributors that are subsequently queued after the queue under study that are not listed in the indices. Although this information is not used "as is" for cost allocation purposes, it can be used to gage the impact of other projects/generators. It should be noted the project/generator MW contributions presented in the body of the report are Full MW Impact contributions which are also noted in the indices column named "Full MW Impact", whereas the loading percentages reported in the body of the report, take into consideration the PJM Generator Deliverability Test rules such as commercial probability of each project as well as the ramping impact of "Adder" contributions. The MW Impact found and used in the analysis is shown in the indices column named "Gendeliv MW Impact".

10.7.1 Index 1

None

10.8 Queue Dependencies

The Queue Projects below are listed in one or more indices for the overloads identified in your report. These projects contribute to the loading of the overloaded facilities identified in your report. The percent overload of a facility and cost allocation you may have towards a particular reinforcement could vary depending on the action of these earlier projects. The status of each project at the time of the analysis is presented in the table. This list may change as earlier projects withdraw or modify their requests.

Queue Number	Project Name	Status
AB2-028	Fall Creek-Desoto 345kV	Active
AC1-175	Losantville 345kV	Active
AC2-090	Losantville 345kV	Active
AC2-111	College Corner 138kV	Active
AC2-176	Jay 138 kV	In Service
AC2-177	Desoto-Tanners Creek 345kV	Active
AD1-128	Modoc-Delaware 138 kV	Active
AE1-207	Mississinewa-Gaston 138 kV	Active
AE1-208	Delaware-Van Buren 138 kV	Active
AE1-209	Desoto 345 kV	Active
AE1-210	Desoto 345 kV	Active
AE2-089	Pennville-Adams 138 kV	Active
AE2-169	Delaware-Van Buren 138 kV	Active
AE2-172	Mississinewa-Gaston 138 kV	Active
AE2-219	Bluff Point-Randolph 138 kV	Active
AE2-220	Losantville 345 kV	Active
AE2-234	Liberty Center-Buckeye Tap 69 kV	Active
AF1-071	College Corner 138 kV	Active
AF1-118	Sorenson-Desoto 345 kV	Active
AF1-119	Keystone-Desoto 345 kV	Active
AF1-148	Sorenson-Desoto 345 kV	Active
AF1-202	Keystone-Desoto 345 kV	Active
AF1-221	College Corner-Drewersburg 138 kV	Active
AF1-223	Jay-Desoto 138 kV	Active
AF1-268	Desoto-Jay 138 kV	Active
AF2-068	Jay 138 kV	Active
AF2-162	Keystone-Desoto 345 kV	Active
AF2-173	Desoto 345 kV	Active
AF2-177	Sorenson-DeSoto #2 345 kV	Active
AF2-211	College Corner 138 kV	Active
AF2-335	West Del-Royerton 138 kV	Active
AF2-370	West Del-Royerton 138 kV	Active
AF2-388	Desoto-Sorenson 345 kV	Active
AF2-407	Fall Creek 345 kV	Active
AF2-408	Fall Creek 138 kV	Active
V3-007	Desoto-Tanners Creek #1 345kV	Under Construction
Y3-024	Bluff Point 12kV	In Service

10.9 Contingency Descriptions

Contingency Name	Contingency Definition			
Base Case				
AEP_P7-1_#11019	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P7-1_#11019' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 944530 TO BUS 243232 CKT 2 / 243218 051 243232 05SORENS 345 2 OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 243225 TO BUS 243232 CKT 1 / 243225 051 243232 05SORENS 345 1 END			
AEP_P7-1_#11087-C-A	243225 05KEYSTN 345 1	/ 960970 AF2-388 TAP 345 / 944530 AF1-118 TAP 345		
AEP_P1-2_#4817	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#4817' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 243225 TO BUS 243232 CKT 1 / 243225 05I 243232 05SORENS 345 1 END	KEYSTN 345		
AEP_P1-2_#8702-C	CONTINGENCY 'AEP_P1-2_#8702-C' OPEN BRANCH FROM BUS 944530 TO BUS 243232 CKT 2 / 944530 AF: 243232 OSSORENS 345 2 END	1-118 TAP 345		

11 Light Load Analysis

Not applicable

12 Short Circuit Analysis

The following Breakers are overdutied:

None.

13 Stability and Reactive Power

(Summary of the VAR requirements based upon the results of the dynamic studies)

To be determined in the Facilities Study Phase.

14 Affected Systems

14.1 TVA

TVA Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

14.2 Duke Energy Progress

Duke Energy Progress Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

14.3 MISO

MISO Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

14.4 LG&E

LG&E Impacts to be determined during later study phases (as applicable).

15 Attachment 1: One Line Diagram and Project Site Location



