



Manual 14F Updates

Planning Committee
August 9, 2018

- PJM's regional project selection criteria require that a project must address a regional congestion driver for which the project is being evaluated
 - Currently outlined in Manual 14F
- PJM also evaluates interregional projects that may provide Market Efficiency benefits for PJM by relieving an internal PJM flowgate or an interregional market to market flowgate
 - Currently outlined in the PJM-MISO JOA
- Market Efficiency Process Enhancement Task Force (MEPETF) charged with developing language to enhance Market Efficiency process documentation to recognize the evaluation of both regional and interregional projects

- All changes contained in section 8.2 – Market Efficiency Project Evaluation
 - Added clarifying language to 8.2.1
 - Proposals must address a PJM Identified congestion driver
 - Added new section 8.2.1.1 – Potential Congestion Drivers must be:
 - Internal binding flowgates
 - Current active market-to-market flowgates listed in NERC book of flowgates
 - Potential future market-to-market flowgates
 - Moved to new section 8.2.1.2 – Congestion Mitigation
 - Clarified language in 8.2.1.3 – Benefit/Cost (B/C)

- Manual 14F language was approved at the May MRC
 - PJM project proposal template
 - End-of-TEAC competitive comparison
 - General cost commitment language
 - Comparative framework

- Language changes to align Manual around the term “Cost Commitment”
- Moved items from Section 6.2 up to 6.1
- Note on the comparative framework
 - Note: The incorporation of the Comparative Framework for Consideration of Cost Commitment Section is subject to finalizing the development of the comparative framework as directed by the MRC as detailed in the Alternative Motion on Cost Containment, Section C that was approved at the May 24, 2018 Markets and Reliability Committee meeting.

- Implementation of PJM project proposal submittal template
- End-of-TEAC evaluation summary
- Pre-qualification process update
- FERC acceptance of the substation equipment and the Transmission Owners' 715 criteria competitive exemptions
- Exemption comment period
- Proposal window communications update

- **Section 6.1 - Proposal Requirements**
 - Updated to include the new template
 - Cost commitment language approved at the May MRC
- **Section 6.2 – Proposal Timing**
 - Removing this section; all relevant information has been moved to 6.1
- **Section 6.3 – Redaction Requirements**
 - Updated for the new template process
 - Cost containment terms and conditions to be considered public
- **Section 6.7 – Market Efficiency Proposal Requirements**
 - Removing this section; all relevant information has been moved to 6.1

- **Section 8.1 – Reliability Criteria Project Evaluation**
 - Statement that PJM selects project based upon all relevant factors
 - PJM to provide an end-of-RTEP evaluation summary table
 - Cost commitment language approved at the May MRC

- Requirement added for pre-qualified entities to confirm their information with PJM every three years
- **Section 2.1** – Pre-Qualification Process has been updated to reflect the new requirement

- **Section 5.3 - Proposal Window Violation Inclusion Review Process**
 - Updated Section 5.3.3 to reflect FERC acceptance of TO Criteria exclusion from proposal windows
 - Updated Section 5.3.5 to reflect FERC acceptance of transmission substation equipment exclusion from proposal windows.
- **Attachment D – Decisional Process**
 - Updated footnotes in decisional process diagram to reflect FERC acceptance of additional exclusions as described in section 5.3

- **Section 5.3**

Stakeholders must provide written comments to PJM regarding PJM's determination to exempt a potential violation from a proposal window no later than **30 days after** the opening of the window. All written comments will be publicly available on the PJM website **for a review period of at least 10 days.**

If, after the review period, PJM determines that a previously exempted violation should be included in a proposal window, a minimum of a 30 day open proposal window for the violation will be provided. This could fall within a currently open window, the extension of a currently open window or the use of an addendum window.

-

- **Section 6.6 - Proposal Window Communications**
 - Removed references to proposal window email addresses
 - Added description and links instructing users to submit questions regarding proposal windows to the Planning Community

- PC 07.12.18 – First Read
- MRC 07.26.18 – First Read
- **PC 08.09.18 – Endorsement**
- MRC 08.23.18 – Endorsement

- V1 – 08/02/2018 - Original slides posted
- V2 – 08/06/2018- Updated slide 11 with the 10 day posting review period addition