



Regional Planning Process Task Force

Meeting Materials

Teleconference Details:

866-398-2885

Passcode: 934672

WebEx Details:

<https://pjm.webex.com>

Password: rpp0613pjm

PJM Conference & Training Center

June 13, 2013

- Call to Order, Announcements, Roll call 20 min
 - Review Action Items
- Review of RPPTF Voting Materials 10:00 – 11:00 a.m.
 - Multi-Driver Approach
- FERC Order No.1000 Compliance Filings 11:00 - Noon
 - Status Noon – 2:30 p.m.
 - Discussion of Compliance items – Stakeholders identify discussion topics from Compliance Chart
 - “Upgrade” Definition – Stakeholder discussion and Feedback
 - Next Steps
- FERC Order No. 1000 – Interregional Compliance, Status and activities 2:30-3:20 p.m.
 - PJM/MISO
 - PJM/NYISO
 - PJM/SERTP
- Action Items, Tasks and Schedule, Adjourn 10 min



Action Items - Status

#	Owner	Status	Date Opened	Date Closed	Item
Administration					
6	F.Barrett	Closed	22-May	10-Jun	Draft Status Quo for R, ME & PP Projects, provide review period. Open 7 day voting window
7	D. Anders	Pending	22-May		Stakeholder Process - Revise Manual 34 to include definition within manual in the definition section

Market Efficiency

Interregional Coordination

Multi-Driver Approach

8	PJM	Closed	14-Mar	10-Jun	Provide review on two Hybrid "con's" (notated in deck)
---	-----	--------	--------	--------	--

Filings/FERC Orders



Regional Planning Process Task Force

Multi-Driver Voting Background and Questions

June 7, 2013

As discussed at the last RPPTF and MRC Sessions,

- The RPPTF has conducted a non-binding poll of all RPPTF Stakeholders and shared the results with the RPPTF and the MRC.
- PJM has prepared a brief education slide on the Multi-Driver Status Quo and will circulate draft Multi-Driver questions for a formal RPPTF Vote
- The RPPTF stakeholders will have a brief period to re-review the questions that were previously polled on and offer any clarifying questions or comments prior to formal voting
- PJM will then issue a formal voting instrument to eligible PJM members in good standing and the voting window will be opened for approximately 7 days
- The voting results will be tabulated and published to the RPPTF and the MRC stakeholders

- **Background** – PJM currently has established processes for evaluating the potential combination of Reliability (R) and Market Efficiency (ME) projects to ensure a reliable, cost effective transmission grid spanning the PJM region.

Over the past several months, the Regional Planning Process Task Force has considered, discussed and debated a number of factors relating to refining PJM's transmission planning processes. Specifically – the task force has considered methods for inclusion of Public Policy (PP) projects and whether a formal Multi-Driver Approach that incorporates PP projects should be adopted.

- *Following is an outline of the status quo followed by the questions that will be voted upon:*

- PJM’s planning processes support identification and potential combination of Reliability (R) and Market Efficiency (ME) Projects
 - If the combination of these two project types were to occur, the full cost would be apportioned to the Reliability project
 - *PJM OATT, Schedule 12(b)(v)(A) and (B).*
- Public Policy (PP) projects may be pursued under the “State Agreement” approach
 - If a State (or States) were to pursue this approach today, the Public Policy projects would proceed in ‘standalone’ fashion (not combined with R or ME projects above)
 - Assignment of PP costs go to Responsible Customers - *See OATT Schedule 12, b.xii, Section B. Page 13*
- Today, a combination of R, ME and PP projects would require a “one-off” filing with FERC

Question

- *Do you support the implementation of a Multi-Driver Approach that provides for the integration of Public Policy projects with Reliability and Market Efficiency projects within PJM's existing regional planning processes?*

Answer

- *Yes No Abstain*

Question

- *If an otherwise identified Reliability and or Market Efficiency Project were to be enhanced, made bigger or more robust as the result of inclusion of a Public Policy Upgrade (“upgrade” term yet to be defined), would you support cost apportionment that is a) Incremental or b) Proportional?*

Answer

- A B Abstain

Question

- *To the extent that a resulting Multi-Driver solution was developed in a manner that is a completely separate solution (meaning a new project not containing any of the previously identified and viable Reliability, Market Efficiency and Public Policy elements) , would you support apportionment of the costs associated with the Public Policy portion be treated a) Incrementally or b) Proportionally?*

Answer

- A B Abstain

Question

- *(Poll) Do you support the Status Quo with respect to the current treatment of Reliability, Market Efficiency, and Public Policy Projects?*

Answer

- *Yes No Abstain*



Regional Planning Process Task Force

FERC Order No. 1000 Compliance Filings

(Please See Compliance Chart - .pdf dated June 10, 2013)



Regional Planning Process Task Force

FERC Order No. 1000 Interregional Compliance



Regional Planning Process Task Force

Next Meeting - June 25, 2013

Anti-trust:

You may not discuss any topics that violate, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws including but not limited to agreements between or among competitors regarding prices, bid and offer practices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that might unreasonably restrain competition. If any of these items are discussed the chair will re-direct the conversation. If the conversation still persists, parties will be asked to leave the meeting or the meeting will be adjourned.

Code of Conduct:

As a mandatory condition of attendance at today's meeting, attendees agree to adhere to the PJM Code of Conduct as detailed in PJM Manual M-34 section 4.5, including, but not limited to, participants' responsibilities and rules regarding the dissemination of meeting discussion and materials.

Public Meetings/Media Participation:

Unless otherwise noted, PJM stakeholder meetings are open to the public and to members of the media. Members of the media are asked to announce their attendance at all PJM stakeholder meetings at the beginning of the meeting or at the point they join a meeting already in progress. Members of the Media are reminded that speakers at PJM meetings cannot be quoted without explicit permission from the speaker. PJM Members are reminded that "detailed transcriptional meeting notes" and white board notes from "brainstorming sessions" shall not be disseminated. Stakeholders are also not allowed to create audio, video or online recordings of PJM meetings.